

# COMMITTEE REPORTS

## REPORT OF THE FAIRCHILD SCHOLARSHIP COMMITTEE.

*To the Joint Meeting of the American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy, the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy, the Section on Education and Legislation, Philadelphia Meeting, 1926:*

The introductory paragraphs of the report of the year 1924 applied to the report of last year and were made to apply to this year because no action to the contrary has been taken. The first year studies in the schools of the Association may have differed in some respects, and it may be advisable for the bodies represented here to give the Committee specific suggestions for the preparation of the questions to be formulated for the next examination, because of the adoption of the 3-year course.

The questions of this year were prepared by members of the faculty of the University of Michigan, and the answers were graded by Dean Julius A. Koch of the Pittsburgh College of Pharmacy and other members of the faculty, and Dr. Heber W. Youngken of the Massachusetts College of Pharmacy. To all of them the members of the Committee are indebted and thanks are extended, and the Chairman desires to say further that members of other faculties signified their willingness to assist. Unfortunately, due to a change on the faculty of one of the latter, it was impossible to carry out the offer made, which necessitated making the request of Dean Koch who had served the Committee last year and of Dr. Youngken; the Chairman is appreciative of this coöperation and also of the expressed willingness of the other institution and of Dean H. E. Kalusowski. The Chairman desires to express thanks not only because of the willingness and helpfulness, but he realizes that the work takes time from vacation days.

Only thirteen schools were represented this year and this decrease in the number of participants was due, as stated by a number of schools, to the fact that their curriculum had been changed. Indications were favorable for a larger number of participating schools; in fact, there seemed to be a greater interest this year than ever before. Unfortunately, therefore, the report concerns only twenty candidates and the rating of the two highest of these is very close. The members of the Committee, however, concluded that the candidate making the highest average should be awarded the scholarship; in other words, the members did not feel privileged to value the subjects separately. Never before have two candidates run so close a race for the scholarship; the members of the Committee do not know whether they have a right to make mention of the candidate in second place. The one making the highest average stands first in Chemistry, fourth in Pharmacy, eleventh in Arithmetic and third in Botany and Materia Medica. The one with second rating gained fourth place in Chemistry, second in Pharmacy, ninth in Arithmetic and second in Botany and Materia Medica.

The average percentage made by the twenty candidates was 75.30; eleven of the candidates ranged above and nine below that average. The general average in Chemistry was 75.75, 13 of the candidates made a higher percentage and 7 lower. A general average of 70.85 obtained in Pharmacy, 13 of the candidates went above and 7 below. The average in Arithmetic was 90.25, 12 were rated above and 8 below the average. The average made in Botany and Materia Medica was 59.35, 11 made a higher average and 9 fell below.

The highest average made in Arithmetic was 98 and the lowest 65.5; in Chemistry 95 and 32.5; in Pharmacy 93 and 25, and in Botany and Materia Medica 89 and 28. There is little on which to base a comparison of students from the same school—one subject might be selected in which they were fairly close but in the other three they were not; the most striking illustration is the one in which one of them was highest in the branch and the other held the lowest record; this was nearly equalled by another institution represented by the highest in the subject and the 17th in rank.

The leaders in two of the branches were among those reported on in the table and the other two leaders failed to reach a general average of 75. Proficiency in one branch seems to have no particular bearing on the rating in another; only five candidates made 75 or over in all branches.

The candidate making the highest average and thereby winning the Fairchild Scholarship is Henry Harwick of 330 Belmont Ave., Newark, a student who has just completed his first year in New Jersey College of Pharmacy.

	Chemistry.	Pharmacy.	Arithmetic.	Botany, etc.	Average.
1	95 <sup>1</sup>	89 <sup>4</sup>	89 <sup>11</sup>	82 <sup>9</sup>	88.75
2	87.5 <sup>4</sup>	92 <sup>3</sup>	90.5 <sup>9</sup>	83.5 <sup>2</sup>	88.40
3	92.02 <sup>2</sup>	77 <sup>11</sup>	97.5 <sup>2</sup>	81.4 <sup>4</sup>	86.88
4	83.0 <sup>6</sup>	78 <sup>10</sup>	96.5 <sup>4</sup>	89 <sup>1</sup>	86.82
5	83.5 <sup>5</sup>	83 <sup>7</sup>	97.0 <sup>3</sup>	74 <sup>7</sup>	84.40
5	82.0 <sup>8</sup>	85 <sup>5</sup>	95.5 <sup>6</sup>	75 <sup>5</sup>	84.40
6	78.5 <sup>10</sup>	89 <sup>4</sup>	81.0 <sup>14</sup>	72 <sup>9</sup>	80.12
7	82.5 <sup>7</sup>	90 <sup>7</sup>	95.0 <sup>7</sup>	52 <sup>12</sup>	79.90
8	61.0 <sup>17</sup>	84 <sup>6</sup>	97.5 <sup>2</sup>	76.5 <sup>5</sup>	79.95
9	85.5 <sup>5</sup>	71 <sup>12</sup>	89.5 <sup>10</sup>	73 <sup>8</sup>	79.25
10	78.55 <sup>10</sup>	82 <sup>8</sup>	85.0 <sup>12</sup>	68 <sup>10</sup>	78.4

\* The super figure of the percentage number in tabulation designates rank in the respective branch; where two or more students tied, the figures so indicate.

The Chairman would be pleased to have suggestions from you on the subject of examinations, because of the change in pharmacy courses.

Respectfully submitted,

L. L. WALTON, *President*,

AMERICAN PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOCIATION

M. N. FORD, *President*,

National Association of Boards of Pharmacy.

EDWARD H. KRAUS, *President*,

American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy.

E. G. EBERLE, *Editor*,

JOURNAL A. PH. A., Chairman.

#### HENRY HARWICK WINNER OF THE FAIRCHILD'S SCHOLARSHIP FOR 1926.

Henry Harwick, Newark, New Jersey, was born in Bucharest, Rumania, on July 17, 1896. He attended the Public School and High School in Bucharest for twelve (12) years, graduating in 1914. From there he went to the College of Science of the University of Bucharest for two years; he then enlisted in the army and served from 1916 to 1918, when he was honorably discharged with the degree of First Lieutenant. After that he continued college at the University of Cluj, Rumania, where he received a master degree in chemistry, in 1920.

From 1920 to 1922 he was connected with Cluj College as an assistant and an instructor in general chemistry. From 1922-1923 he worked as a chemist in the petroleum industry in Rumania, coming to the United States in December 1923.

Since 1923, Mr. Harwick has worked as a chemist and attended Columbia University in a post graduate course towards a Ph.D. degree in chemistry.



HENRY HARWICK, NEWARK, N. J.  
Winner of the 1926 Fairchild Scholarship.